A META-ANALYSIS OF HPWS AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT: EXAMINING NATIONAL CULTURE AS A MODERATOR

by

Wendy C. Long

A thesis submitted to the faculty of The University of North Carolina at Charlotte in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Industrial/Organizational Psychology

Charlotte

2020

Approved by:	
Dr. David Woehr	_
Dr. Scott Tonidandel	_
Dr. Victor Zitian Chen	

©2020 Wendy C. Long ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

ABSTRACT

WENDY C. LONG. A meta-analysis of HPWS and organizational commitment: Examining national culture as a moderator. (Under the direction of DR. DAVID WOEHR)

Enhancing organizational commitment remains a critical issue for organizations worldwide. In extant literature, the relationship between high-performance work system (HPWS) and organizational commitment (OC) remains inconclusive. First, this paper explores the extent to which HPWS impacts OC using a meta-analysis of 47 HPWS-OC effects sizes from 63,382 observations across 26 countries. Grounded in social exchange theory and psychological contract theory, this paper develops a theoretical framework on the national culture contingency perspective of HPWS. Furthermore, it examines national culture as a moderator using two cultural dimensions: collectivism and uncertainty avoidance. Study findings revealed that HPWS relates strongly to organizational commitment. No support was found for the hypothesized moderation. Ad hoc analyses were conducted to further investigate two methodological moderators. Results showed that they were significant. Findings from this meta-analytic study have important theoretical implications for future research direction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to acknowledge my chair, Dr. David Woehr, for all of his input and assistance throughout the entire process of completing this thesis. I would also like to extend my gratitude to my two committee members, Drs. Tonidandel and Chen, for their invaluable insight in the development of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TA	ABLES	vi
CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2	2: THEORIES AND HYPOTHESES	2
2.1.	High-Performance Work System (HPWS)	2
2.2.	Organizational Commitment	4
2.3.	HPWS-OC Relationship	6
2.4	Psychological Contract and Social Exchange Theory	7
2.5	National Cultural Contingency	9
2.6	Methodological Moderators	11
CHAPTER 3	3: METHOD	13
3.1	Literature Search	13
3.2	Coding	14
3.3	Analyses	14
CHAPTER 4	4: RESULTS	17
4.1.	Hypothesis Testing	17
4.2.	Moderation Testing	17
CHAPTER :	5: DISCUSSION	19
5.1	Implications of Moderation Test Results	20
5.2	Study Implications	21
5.3	Future Research Direction	22
5.4	Conclusion	23
REFERENC	CES	48

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: Construct Label and Measurement	24
TABLE 2: Studies Used in the Meta-Analysis	44
TABLE 3: Meta-Analytic Test Results	46
TABLE 4: Methodological Moderator Test Results	47

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

From an organization's perspective, retaining loyal employees can lead to various positive organizational outcomes. In a meta-analysis, Meyer et al. (2002) found that organizational commitment is negatively related to withdrawal intention and turnover. Previous studies also suggest a positive relationship between organizational commitment and job performance (Wright & Bonett, 2002). Committed employees demonstrate less counterproductive behavior while engaging in more organizational citizenship behaviors (Wright et al., 2003). While the benefits of employee commitment are clear, failure to facilitate organizational commitment is an economic imperative that comes as a hidden cost to businesses. The most direct financial loss is turnover. Among the top companies with high employee turnover are many household names such as Amazon, Google, and Berkshire Hathaway (Mahapatra, 2013). Amazon, for instance, has the second-highest employee turnover of all Fortune 500 companies (Mahapatra, 2013), with an average of new employee tenure lasting no more than a few months; it was heavily criticized by public media for its toxic workplace culture that demands employees to overwork until they quit or collapsed (Kantor & Streitfeld, 2015). In fact, some statistics suggest that enhancing organizational commitment is a critical issue for many organizations worldwide. According to a workforce survey conducted by Oxford Economics (2014), which surveyed over 5,000 executives and employees across 27 countries, 45% of the executives noted the lack of employee loyalty as the biggest challenge to meeting strategic goals in the company. To address this global problem in organizations, a closer examination of the predictors of organizational commitment becomes crucial.

CHAPTER 2: THEORIES AND HYPOTHESES

2.1 High-Performance Work System (HPWS)

Specifically, high-performance work systems (HPWS) and HRM practices are particularly important in shaping organizational commitment (OC), as these are organizational factors that managers can change to enhance positive employee outcomes. HPWS is broadly defined as a coherent HRM system focused on solving operational problems align with the firm's competitive strategy (Becker & Huselid, 1998) and a bundle of HR practices in which the effect is greater than the sum of its parts (Appelbaum et al., 2000). High-performance work practices are sometimes referred to as 'high commitment practices' (Gould-Williams, 2004), 'high-involvement management' (Lawler, 1986), or 'high-involvement work practices' (Guthrie, 2001). Despite the various labels, HPWS is the most commonly used term by both academic scholars and practitioners.

In current literature, there is no consensus on the exact definition of HPWS and the components of HPWS vary depends on the organization. Godard (2004) suggested that HPWS includes HR policies and initiatives, alternative work practices as well as high-commitment employment practices. These alternative practices are designed to promote commitment. One limitation with this definition in the literature is that there is a certain amount of circularity. Appelbaum et al. (2000) proposed that HPWS includes employee involvement practices, skill enhancement practices, and motivational practices. Similarly, Sung & Ashton (2005) defined a bundle of work practices in three broad areas including high employee involvement work practices, HR practices, and reward & commitment practices. HPWS can also include practices suggested by Thompson &

Heron (2005), such as selective recruitment, teamwork, performance management, and involvement. Despite the heterogeneity of HPWS, the core value of the system comprises various HR practices that focus on acquiring, developing, and motivating employees to achieve organizational goals. Because the components of HPWS vary, how HPWS is measured in studies differs depending on conceptualizations. Gardner et al. (2011), for example, measured HPWS using a set of HRM practices questions that asked the employee perceptions of skill-enhancing HR practices, motivation-enhancing HR practices, and empowerment-enhancing HR practices. Korff et al. (2017) used employees' perceptions of 11 HRM practices including compensation level, employment security, incentive compensation, internal promotion, participation programs, performance appraisal, selectivity, and training to measure HPWS in their study. Similarly, Nishii et al. (2008) assessed HPWS as employees' perception of HR attributions regarding business goals underlying HR, HR philosophy regarding employee wellbeing, and HR compliance with union contract. In essence, the broad definition of HPWS is manifested in the differences in its measurements.

A large volume of literature has examined the impact of HPWS on organizational outcomes and found generally positive effects (Riketta, 2002). This is in alignment with the universalist paradigm (Pfeffer, 1998), which suggests that advanced HRM practices such as HPWS have a positive impact on all organizations, regardless of their size, sector, or country. In contrast, the contingency paradigm highlights the impact of institutional and cultural elements of a country as a process in relation to HRM practices (Alcázar et al., 2011). Based on this paradigm, the effects of HRM practices across cultures may vary. Previous studies have examined the differences in HRM practices across nations

(Peretz & Rosenblatt, 2011; Brewster & Mayrhofer, 2012), yet the findings of a universal 'best practices' HPWS in different contexts do not yield conclusive results (Lertxundi & Landeta, 2011). For instance, Ramsay et al. (2000) found a negative impact on employee commitment using one group of HPWS while no effect was found on the other group of HPWS. Recent emerging interest in studying HPWS cross-culturally suggests the need to understand the cultural contingencies of HPWS (Dastmalchian et al., 2020). Thus, the goal of the present study is to develop and empirically test a theoretical framework of national cultural contingency on the HPWS-organizational commitment relationship.

2.2 Organizational Commitment

Gellatly et al. (2009) suggested that the relationship between HPWS/advanced HRM practices and organizational commitment has not been well understood partly due to the complex nature of the commitment construct. Broadly speaking, OC is defined as the individual's attachment to the organization, characterized by three factors: "1. a strong belief in and acceptance of the organization's goals and values, 2. A willingness to exert considerable effort on behalf of the organization, and 3. a strong desire to maintain membership in the organization" (Mowday et al., 1979, p. 226). The Organizational Commitment Questionnaires (OCQ) was developed based on the concept proposed by Mowday and his colleagues. Extending beyond a unidimensional approach, Allen & Myer (1990) defined commitment as the psychological attachment an individual has for the organization, reflecting the degree to which the individual internalizes or adopts the perspectives of the organization. The three dimensions are affective, continuance, and normative commitment. Affective commitment is the psychological attachment or identification that an individual develops for his/her organization. In essence, the

affective commitment dimension by Allen & Meyer (1990) is an extension on Mowday et al. (1979)'s definition of organizational commitment, since both are concerned with the affect, emotion, and/or attachment to the work organization. Often, when the literature refers to organizational commitment, they focus primarily on affective commitment. Specifically, the majority of the study only assessed affective commitment.

Continuance commitment is an employee's assessment of the costs and benefits of remaining or leaving the organization. Normative commitment is defined as a feeling of obligation for the employee to stay with the organization. The multi-dimensional model of OC represents the degree of different psychological states of an individual's involvement and loyalty to a particular organization. For example, affective commitment, normative commitment, and continuance commitment are found to be predictors of employee attitude such as turnover intentions (Meyer & Allen, 1997), although the mechanism to which they affect employee attitudes may be different. Allen & Meyer (1990) proposed that affective, continuance and normative commitment are distinguishable components of commitment. In fact, research has shown the relationships among the dimensions are often non-zero correlations. A meta-analysis by Meyer et al. (2002) explored these relationships and found that the correlation between affective and normative commitment was substantial (r = 0.63). The correlation between continuance and affective commitment (r = 0.05) as well as the correlation between continuance and normative commitment (r = 0.18) were modest. It's still unclear whether the three dimensions of OC have similar effects on organizational outcomes. Thus, it may be important to explore organizational commitment under these dimensions separately.

2.3 HPWS-OC Relationship

The relationship between HPWS and OC is still rather ambiguous in the literature. For example, Pare et al. (2000) found that HPWS such as recognition, empowerment, and competence development practices had a significant positive effect on organizational commitment using a group of IT professions as the study sample. Similarly, Gellatly et al. (2009) found a significant positive relationship between development-oriented, stability-oriented, and reward-oriented HRM practices with affective commitment. However, Ramsay et al. (2000) presented mixed evidence of HPWS on commitment. The first HPWS in the study (including employee/union representation, consultation committees, diversity management, family-friendly policies, and recruitment/selection) showed a significant negative relationship while the second HPWS (including grievance procedures, formal teams, harmonization, appraisals, formal training, and downward communication) yielded no significant effect. Furthermore, Chaudhuri (2009) and Heffernan and Dundon (2016) both found a significant negative relationship between HPWS and OC. Overall, the literature demonstrates substantial variability in the relationship, ranging from a weak correlation to a relatively strong correlation. One potential explanation for this variability is cross-country heterogeneity such as national cultures. Indeed, there is some preliminary evidence that suggests national culture is a decisive factor in shaping HPWS and HRM practices. The specific HR practices may be used differently in different cultures (Mittal, 2012), thereby impacting the relationship between HPWS and employee outcomes such as OC. For example, countries high in collectivism may focus more on internal selection whereas countries high in

individualism may focus more on external selection. Because of the existing variability, examining national culture as a moderator seems warranted.

This paper seeks to make both theoretical and practical advances. First, I explore the universalistic and contingency paradigm of HPWS on OC to address the long-standing debate of a 'best practices' approach of HRM. Second, I provide a theoretical framework to examine HPWS and OC using psychological contract and social exchange theory by analyzing the moderating effect of national culture. Third, this paper answers the calls to incorporate external factors such as culture into HPWS research (Boselie et al., 2001) and more research to clarify the relationship between HPWS and employee outcomes (Zhang et al., 2014).

2.4 Psychological Contract and Social Exchange Theory

The implementation of HPWS is associated with positive employee attitudes and outcomes as studies suggest that higher perception of HPWS correlates with higher organizational commitment (Wright et al., 2003). Similarly, drawing on social exchange theory, Whitener (2001) demonstrated that OC was stronger when employees perceived their organizations to be more supportive and committed to them. The author argued that these perceptions were influenced by the HR practices adopted by different firms, suggesting HPWS and various HRM practices can shape employee attitudes. The extant literature on organizational commitment draws heavily from social exchange theory (Blau, 1964), which proposes that the organization-employee relationships are grounded in a series of exchange activities. Unlike economic exchanges, social exchange theory (SET) emphasizes on the social exchanges that are expressed in long term duration. Under SET, employees form psychological contracts with their employers with the

expectations that the employment relationship is more than financial exchanges.

Psychological contract here refers to the employees' perceptions of the implicit exchange agreement between themselves and the organization, differentiating between a transactional versus a relational exchange in the process (Rousseau, 1995). Transactional contracts are short-term exchange of economic resources whereas relational contracts are long-term ongoing exchange of socioemotional resources. While these two theories are independent theories, it is possible that they may interact. Psychological contract theory really emphasizes the idea of exchange while social exchange theory is a theory that brings social exchanges in the psychological contract model. One way it is addressed in current literature is through LMX.

Rousseau (1995) further suggests that HRM practices such as HPWS shapes employees' psychological contracts. In theory, relational contracts have been proposed to be positively correlated with OC. Because HPWS is designed to promote, retain, and motivate employees, it signals a relational psychological contract. The signals embedded in HPWS communicate to the employees the organization's interest in promoting positive employee attitudes and behaviors. HPWS may lead to better performing employees as a result. Indeed, Chang and Chen (2011) found that HPWS is significantly positively related to employee individual performance using 284 employees in professional service organizations. From a social exchange perspective, high performing employees are therefore more likely to fulfill expectations and experience rewards as a result, which ultimately leads to higher commitment to the organization. Such social exchange within the organizations should have universal applicability.

H1: HPWS will be positively related to organizational commitment.

2.5 National Cultural Contingency

Given that the signals in HPWSs are subjected to the individuals' cognitive interpretation and there is evidence that suggests psychological contracts are influenced by national culture (Rousseau & Schalk, 2000), one may expect that different psychological contracts exist in different countries. National culture as a contingency perspective proposes that national culture differences dictate whether HPWS or HRM practices will be effective across countries (Rabl et al., 2014). Hofstede (1980) defined national culture as the "collective programming of the mind which distinguishes the members of one human group from another" (p.25). Culture has multiple dimensions. For example, Hofstede (1980)'s Value Survey Module (VSM) explores the main constructs of culture: individualism/collectivism, power distance, uncertainty avoidance, masculinity/femininity, and long-term orientation. It identifies the fundamental differences in the way people in distinct cultures perceive and interpret the world. Specifically, this study will focus on two dimensions of individualism/collectivism and uncertainty avoidance. Cultures with high individualism are more likely to prefer working independently whereas cultures with high collectivism are more likely to enjoy working closely with others. On the other hand, uncertainty avoidance refers to the degree to which a culture tolerates uncertainty regarding the future.

Countries with high collectivism are more likely to value and emphasize collective needs and group tasks. Thus, HPWSs are more likely to be viewed as an organization's effort in promoting positive interdependent employee relationships, suggesting a relational psychological contract. On the other hand, countries with low collectivism are likely to view HPWSs as policies to increase economic performance,

leading to a transactional psychological contract. Furthermore, social exchange theory places strong values in social exchanges between the organization and its employees. The dimension of individualism and collectivism is particularly relevant in this context, as collectivistic culture by its defining characteristics will value social exchanges more than individualistic culture. Collectivism is reflected in the organization where people feel they owe absolute loyalty to the organization (Randall, 1993). For example, it is common in Japan to practice "shunshin koyo," which translates to "end-of-life employment." The term refers to hiring employees at a young age and then continuously employing them until they die (Mouer, 2007). Thus, to the extent that organizations demonstrate more commitment to the employees, employees will in turn demonstrate higher commitment to the organization. Grounded in psychological contract and social exchange theory, the following is hypothesized:

H2: The positive relationship between HPWS and organizational commitment will be moderated by national culture, such that the relationship will be stronger in countries with higher collectivism.

Similarly, countries with high uncertainty avoidance will place greater emphasis on the importance of HPWS. Under SET, employees in high uncertainty avoidance countries are more likely to minimizes unforeseeable future (i.e. changing jobs) by valuing their current exchanges with the organization. HPWSs signal a relational contract because employees in cultures with high uncertainty avoidance tend to focus on the psychological contract that elicits attachment to avoid instability. Thus, they tend to stay with the same employer and view organizational loyalty as a virtue (Randall, 1993). A culture of high uncertainty avoidance is also more likely to value job security and

stability (Andreassi et al., 2014). HPWSs, through HR practices that emphasize job security, provide safety and security measures for employees with uncertainty avoidance tendency. Based on these arguments, I propose the following hypothesis:

H3: The positive relationship between HPWS and organizational commitment will be moderated by national culture, such that the relationship will be stronger in countries with higher uncertainty avoidance.

2.6 Methodological Moderators

An examination of the literature indicates two different approaches for assessing these constructs. It is evident that using same source data and different measurement level are two concerns in these studies. Therefore, such methodological moderators should be examined. Self-report questionnaire, for example, is by far the most popular method for conducting organizational research. One major problem with this method is common method variance (CMV) or same-source bias. CMV occurs when the observed relationships among different variables are spurious due to the single method used in the study. CMV can also be a problem when studies collect data from the same source of informant to assess two or more constructs. Studies in this meta-analysis that used selfreport questionnaires and same source informant to measure both HPWS and organizational commitment would be affected by CMV, resulting in an inflation of correlation. Thus, it may be important to examine additional methodological moderators such as whether the studies used same source or different source of informant (e.g. employees or managers) to measure HPWS and OC and how it affects the hypothesized relationship. Because all the studies in the meta-analysis used self-report questionnaires, I will examine the potential impact of CMV from the source of informant. Thus, I propose the following research question:

RQ1: To what extent does same source versus difference source informant affect the relationship between HPWS and OC?

Another important methodological moderator to consider is the different measurements of HPWS across studies. For example, Edgar and Geare (2005) examined HRM practices and employee attitudes (organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and organizational fairness) by exploring how different measures yielded different results. In current literature, HPWS/HRM practice is measured by either an additive approach of HRM practices reported by the employers, self-reports by the employers about the extent to which HPWS has been utilized in the organization, or employee perceptions on the extent to which HPWS has been practiced. This study found that the relationship between HRM practice and employee attitudes were statistically significant, but only when employee reports were used to measure HRM. Findings suggest future research in HRM/HPWS must be cautious in using suitable data sources. In this meta-analysis, studies measured HPWS either at an individual level or aggregate it into a group level. Therefore, the differences in measurement may affect the relationship between HPWS and OC. Here I propose the second research question:

RQ2: To what extent does individual level versus group level measure affect the relationship between HPWS and OC?

CHAPTER 3: METHOD

3.1 Literature Search

I searched for articles with titles and abstracts in Google Scholar, Web of Science, Business Source Complete, JSTOR, PsycINFO, and ABI/INFORM using keywords highperformance work system (HPWS), human resource management (HRM), human resource, high performance, high involvement, or high commitment in combination with the keywords organizational commitment, employee commitment, affective commitment, normative commitment, and continuance commitment. To be included in the metaanalysis, a study must contain a measure of an HPWS, HR system, or HR practices as a system. Consistent with Appelbaum et al. (2000)'s definition, HPWS as a bundle of HR practices has an effect that is greater than the sum of its parts. Because I am interested in the synergistic effect of HPWS as a system, studies examining individual highperformance work practices were not included. Second, a study must measure organizational commitment with either affective commitment, continuance commitment, and/or normative commitment. Acceptable measures of HPWS include employees' and/or managerial perceptions of the HPWS/HRM practices as a system in the organization. HPWSs vary from a combination of skill-enhancing practices, high commitment practices, selection and training practices, motivation-enhancing practices, etc. The major constructs and their measurements are reported in Table 1. Third, a study must report the bivariate correlation for the HPWS-commitment relationship. The inclusion/exclusion criteria yielded a total of 47 effect sizes with 63,382 observations across 26 countries. All studies included in the sample are listed in Table 2.

3.2 Coding

HPWS variables, OC variables, correlation coefficients, sample size, sample country, and measurement error (Cronbach's alpha) for the independent and dependent variables are extracted from each study. To conduct the moderation analyses, I coded the country from which the sample of respondents had been drawn for each correlation coefficient. The scores for collectivism and uncertainty avoidance of each country will be derived from Hofstede's cultural dimension framework. For example, the United States as a country has an individualism score of 91 and an uncertainty avoidance score of 46. Hofstede's score manual has dimension scale runs from 0 to 100, with 50 as a mid-level score. Consistent with Hofstede (2001), if a score is under 50 the culture scores relatively low on that scale, and if a score is over 50 the culture scores high on that scale.

3.3 Analyses

Following Hunter and Schmidt's (2004) meta-analytic technique, I calculated a sample size weighted correlation coefficient (\bar{r}) for the relationship between HPWS and OC as well as for each cultural dimension. The weighted correlations provide more accuracy because sampling errors from any individual primary study cancels out. To run this analysis, I used random effects models (Hunter & Schmidt, 2004) in SPSS using the MeanES, MetaF, and MetaReg macros (Lipsey & Wilson, 2001). Random effects models account for study effect estimates showing more variance between studies in the sample because they come from different subpopulations (e.g. countries high/low on different cultural dimensions). I calculated 95% confidence intervals around the sample sized weighted correlations (\bar{r}) to determine their precision (Whitener, 1990).

After sampling error, I corrected for measurement error individually for each study that reported reliability coefficients. For studies that did not report their reliability coefficients, I used the mean of the available reliabilities to correct for attenuation by random measurement error (Hunter & Schmidt, 2004). The average Cronbach's alpha for HPWS (r_{xx}) is 0.86, and the average Cronbach's alpha for OC (r_{yy}) is 0.82. The average true score correlation is calculated after correcting for both sampling error and measurement error (\bar{r}_c).

To test the hypotheses, Cochran's Q-statistics were used to determine betweenstudy heterogeneity. Significant Q-statistics indicate heterogeneity in r (i.e., moderators are present) whereas nonsignificant Q-statistics indicate r in a homogenous population. The main effect between HPWS and OC was tested by examining whether the confidence interval for r included zero. I created two subsamples of collectivism (high and low) and two subsamples of uncertainty avoidance (high and low) using the median score of 50 as a cutoff to differentiate high and low groups. Schmidt (2017) noted several limitations of using meta-regression in meta-analysis including low statistical power due to small k, susceptibility to distortion by outlier data points, and that regression weights are unstandardized weights that are potentially uninterpretable. Considering the pitfalls of using meta-regression, subgroup analysis is used in this study. Hypotheses 2 and 3 were tested by calculating the r for groups of studies at each level of the moderator (i.e., high collectivism vs. low collectivism, high uncertainty avoidance vs. low uncertainty avoidance) and testing for differences between the groups using subgroup analyses (Hunter & Schmidt, 2004). If the confidence intervals did not overlap between the groups, it suggests the presence of a moderating effect (Hunter & Schmidt, 2004). My

primary analysis is subgroup analysis, given that there are severe limitations of using meta-regression (Schmidt, 2017). To ensure robustness, I also examined continuous variables and conducted a meta-regression for comparison. Results from meta-regression are the same as those obtained from subgroup analyses. The results below are reported from the subgroup analyses.

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS

4.1 Hypothesis Testing

Overall, the following studies in the meta-analysis examined different types of commitment: 14 studies on organizational commitment, 29 studies on affective commitment, 2 studies on continuance commitment, and 2 studies on normative commitment. The most frequently used measures of organizational commitment in the sample included instruments adapted from either the Affective Commitment Scale (Allen & Meyer, 1990) or the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (Mowday et al., 1979). These studies sampled 26 countries including 6 from China, 5 from the Netherlands, 4 each from the UK and Japan, 3 from Portugal, 2 each from Australia, India, Spain, Taiwan, and 1 each from Canada, Finland, Greece, Indonesia, Iraq, Ireland, Kuwait, Luthuania, Malaysia, New Zealand, Pakistan, Poland, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Korea, United States, and Sweden. The number of countries scoring high/low on each cultural dimension is reported in Table 3. The aggregated correlations and the metaanalytic estimates for all the cultural dimensions are also shown in Table 3. Hypothesis 1, which proposed that HPWS is positively related to OC, was supported with r = 0.44 (p <0.01; $\bar{r}_c = 0.56$). Hypothesis 2 predicted that the HPWS-OC relationship is stronger in cultures with high collectivism, which was not supported with $\bar{r} = 0.45$ versus 0.41 (n.s.). Hypothesis 3 predicted that the HPWS-OC relationship is stronger in cultures with high uncertainty avoidance, which was not supported with $\bar{r} = 0.43$ versus 0.44 (n.s.).

4.2 Moderation Testing

I conducted several ad hoc tests to examine additional moderators such as other cultural dimensions not hypothesized in this study (i.e. long-term orientation,

masculinity, and power distance). The results of these tests are aggregated in Table 3. With overlapped confidence intervals between each high and low cultural dimension, the remaining three cultural dimensions as moderators did not receive support. In addition, I used meta-regression as an alternative method to examine moderation effects in hypotheses 2 and 3. The results were not supported.

I further tested for two methodological moderators: whether the study construct is measured at a group or individual level, and whether the data source to measure HPWS and OC comes from the same or different informant (e.g. employees or managers). In the meta-analysis, 23 studies used group-level measure of HPWS, and 24 studies used individual-level measure. In addition, 40 studies used the same data source to measure HPWS and OC, and 7 studies used different data source. The results are shown in Table 4. The HPWS and OC relationship is moderated by HPWS measurement level such that the relationship is stronger in studies using individual-level measure versus group-level measure; moderation is supported with $\bar{r} = 0.52$ versus 0.35 (p < 0.05). Furthermore, the HPWS and OC relationship is moderated by data source type such that the relationship is stronger in studies using same source data versus different source data; moderation is supported with $\bar{r} = 0.49$ versus 0.19 (p < 0.01).

To ensure robustness of the results, I conducted further analysis to explore extreme cases. Two studies, Lai et al. (2017) and White & Bryson (2013), could be potential outliers due to their large N. It may exert a high influence on the overall effect size. Thus, I conducted an influence analysis on all the analyses, in which the pooled estimates are calculated omitting one study at a time. Results revealed there was no significant change in \bar{r} leaving either study out of the sample.

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION

Study finding for the HPWS-OC relationship indicates that high-performance work system is related to organizational commitment at $\bar{r}_c = 0.56$. However, no support was found for moderation effects with the two hypothesized dimensions of national culture (i.e. collectivism and uncertainty avoidance). This provides evidence for the universalist paradigm (Pfeffer, 1998), which proposes that HPWSs have a positive impact on all organizations, regardless of their size, sector, or country. It is consistent with other research studies that found support for universalism HRM (Hughes, 2002; Clinton & Guest, 2013). Overall, the results suggest that HPWS is strongly related to OC and that this relationship is not influenced by cultural moderators.

However, specific HPWSs may be more or less effective and differentially important in different cultures. For example, training may be more important in collectivistic culture, but selection and recruitment may be more important in individualistic culture. Mittal (2012) explored the difference in utilization of specific HPWS in different culture, proposing that collectivistic cultures would prefer to recruit employees internally rather than externally due to the family-like organization structure. Mittal (2012) further proposed that cultures with high uncertainty avoidance would place greater emphasis on formal organizational communications to minimize uncertainty at every level in the organization. Such are examples of alternative explanations for the findings we see in the meta-analysis because the current method did not capture the effects of specific HPWS, but rather the entire HPWS as a system.

Different cultures may also perceive and measure HPWS differently. Furthermore, the

same cultures may also perceive and measure HPWS differently. Furthermore, the

measurement between studies may contribute to a null finding of cultural moderators. On the other hand, using country as a proxy variable to measure culture can be potentially limiting. As previous studies suggest, there are also between country and within-country cultural variability (Lenartowicz et al. 2003). Lastly, this study measured cultural dimensions using Hofstede's VSM model. Some scholars criticized that the VSM model may have been outdated. An alternative popular measure of culture is from the GLOBE study (House et al. 2004), which include both practice and value scores on nine dimensions (performance orientation, assertiveness, future orientation, humane orientation, institutional collectivism, in-group collectivism, gender egalitarianism, power distance, and uncertainty avoidance) for each country. Thus, it is possible that Hofstede's measure of culture fails to capture other critical dimensions of culture. The null moderation results may be biased by the cultural measure.

5.1 Implications of Moderation Test Results

The ad hoc test results provide several implications. First, there was no support for moderation using the other three cultural dimensions (i.e. long-term orientation, masculinity, and power distance). This further strengthens the universalist paradigm. Second, I found support for two methodological moderators. Measurement level moderation is supported with $\bar{r}=0.52$ versus 0.35 (p<0.05), such that the relationship is stronger in studies using individual-level measure versus group-level measure. Commitment is designed as an individual-level construct. Thus, it is not clear that group-level commitment and individual-level commitment are the same thing. Aggregating the measure is changing the nature of the construct. The literature suggests a presence of

aggregation bias and that group-level measures may not reflect the breadth of commitment in an organization.

In addition, data source type moderation is also supported with $\bar{r} = 0.49$ versus 0.19 (p < 0.01), such that the relationship is stronger in studies using same source data versus different source data. One possible explanation could be due to common method variance (CMV). CMV is defined as "variance that is attributable to the measurement method rather than to the constructs the measures represent" (p. 879, Podsakoff et al., 2003). The dominating method to measure HPWS and OC in these primary studies is from self-report questionnaires. Given that all the studies in the sample are crosssectional studies, the survey measures are collected at the same time from the same participants. When both HPWS and OC measures are collected from the same data source, CMV may be an even bigger concern. Indeed, the HPWS-OC correlation drops from a strong relationship ($\bar{r} = 0.49$) using same source data to a relatively weak relationship ($\bar{r} = 0.19$) using different source data. Furthermore, the finding from hypothesis 1 may be heavily driven by methodological error such that if studies use the ideal methodology (e.g. collect data from different data source), there is no significant effect between HPWS and OC. In the presence of methodological moderators, the effect between HPWS and OC diminishes. This indicates a methodological concern that should be addressed in future research.

5.2 Study Implications

Overall, the findings from this meta-analytic study provide empirical evidence toward better understanding the true relationship between HPWS and OC and the extent to which HPWS shapes OC under different conditions. Results have important

implications for the universalist paradigm. From this study, HPWS seems to have a significant positive effect on OC regardless of the culture it was embedded in. This helps clarify the cultural contexts in which contingency paradigm scholars have suggested that may impact the HPWS-OC relationship. However, given that the methodological moderators are significant, we cannot say for certain adopting the universalist paradigm is best practice for all HPWS implementation. Second, given the null results of all the cultural moderators, other theoretical frameworks should be examined outside of psychological contract theory and social exchange theory. Considering the current state of literature is fragmented in terms of theory development, there is a need for integration of multiple theories or generation of new theories in future HPWS-OC research. Lastly, the key finding here is that the literature suffers from these methodological issues. Until these issues are resolved, it is hard to establish the true relationship between these two constructs.

5.3 Future Research Direction

Future studies in this area should be mindful of their research methodology. The results from this paper show that in the presence of methodological moderators, the effect between HPWS and OC diminishes. This paper calls for the need for better measurement of HPWS and OC, giving more attention to methodological issues. Ideally, more studies should collect study measurements from different sources. A primary study using both same source and different source data would be interesting to examine. Future studies should also use longitudinal data to explore the long-term effect of HPWS. Furthermore, future research should investigate the effects of culture in the HPWS-OC relationship using different HPWS measurement and cultural measurement, both between country and

within country. In terms of theoretical development, future studies can integrate qualitative methods. A grounded theory study that employs a mix-methods approach can perhaps explain the mechanism in which HPWS affects OC with better clarity.

Qualitative method also provides rich and meaningful data that may give rise to how people in different culture perceives HPWS and explore their subsequent impact.

5.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study is the first to systematically examine the degree to which HPWS is effective on organizational commitment. Consistent with the universalist paradigm, HPWS is positively associated with OC across all cultures. No support was found for moderation effects using the five cultural dimensions (i.e. collectivism, uncertainty avoidance, masculinity, power distance, and long-term orientation). However, findings show that methodological moderators such as measurement level and data source type change the strength of the HPWS-OC relationship. This paves the foundation for future researchers to address methodological concerns in extant literature.

Table 1 Construct Label and Measurement

High selective staffing, Org	Organizational	Mowday et al.'s (1979)
performance-based pay, con empowerment, internal career opportunity, result oriented appraisal and employment security to measure HPWS (Delery and Doty, 1996, Bae and Lawler, 2000).	commitment	organizational commitment measurement scale

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Boon & High- Employee Kalshoven commitment perceptions on the extent of high commitment (2014) HRM extent of high commitment HRM HRM	and Lawler, 2000).			expected in order to help the organization be successful" and "I am proud to tell other that I am part of this organization" (Mowday or al. 1979)
	the HRM scale developed by Lepak and Snell (2002); employees were asked to assess to what extent they agreed with specific statements related to five HR practices in their workplace (job design, recruitment and selection, training and development, performance appraisal, and compensation).	Organizational commitment	5-item scale for affective organizational commitment, developed by Meyer et al. (1993)	"This organization has a great deal of personal meaning for me."
Boon et al. HR practices Employee (2011) erceptions on the extent of HR practices	Participants were asked to indicate for each item, the extent to which they perceive that the organisation offers them the HR practice('The organisation offers me ') on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (very great extent) and included a wide range of practices such as selection, training, participation, teamwork and rewards.	Organizational	4 items of the scale developed by Ellemers, De Gilder and Van den Heuvel (1998).	"This organisation has a great deal of personal meaning for me' and 'I feel "part of the family" in this organization."

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

& Meijerink (2018) Camelo- Ordaz et al.	HRM practices High- involvement	Employee perceptions on the extent of HRM practices Employee perceptions on the	Employees' perceptions of the presence of seven HRM practices: staffing (6 items); performance management (3 items); compensation and benefits (6 items); job design (5 items); and participation (5 items). The method for the measurement of HRM	Affective commitment Organizational	8 items from Allen and Meyer (1990) Meyer and Allen (1991, 1997)	A sample item being: 'I do not feel like 'part of the family' at this organization' (reversed item). 1. Employees would be very happy to spend the
(2011)	HRM practices	practices	practices was taken from the work of Lepak and Snell (2002). On average, how often are the following practices applied to the management of R&D employees? 1. Firm emphasizes promotion from within 2. Performance appraisals include developmental feedback 3. Selection process assesses the ability to collaborate and work in a team 4. Training activities focus on team building and interpersonal relations 5. Appraisals are based on team performance 6. Appraisals focus on employees' ability to work with others	commitment		rest of their career with this organization 2. Employees really feel as if this organization's problems were their own problems 3. Employees are not emotionally attached to this organization (b) 4. This organization has great personal meaning for our employees

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

A sample item from this scale was 'I am willing to stay at this shop.'	No feeling of family atmosphere Emotionally attached to my co Working for this co has a personal meaning to me Strong sense of belongingness Feeling of this co's problem as my own personal problem Problem to work in this co until retirement Proud to work for this company
6-item scale developed by Meyer et al. (1993)	7 items scale measuring affective organizational commitment of Meyer and Allen
Affective	Affective
The 17 items used to measure HPWS completed by independent shop owners. Five HR subsystems (employment security, selective staffing, comprehensive training, reduced status differentiation, and competitive compensation and benefits)	21-item including selective recruitment, training, internal promotion systems, empowered employees, participation programs, teamwork, self-directed teams, formal performance appraisal, formal performance based on quantified results, profit sharing schemes, additional pay rise last year, information sharing on company's financial and operational performance, formal communication programs, employee attitude surveys, few status differences, good career opportunity, formal grievances and complaint resolution system, measures of occupational safety and injuries
Shop owner's perceptions on the extent of HPWS practice	Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practice
HPWS	HPWS
Chang & Chen (2011)	Chaudhuri (2009)

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Chaudhuri	HPWS	Employee	21-item including selective	Continuance	3 items scale of measuring	1. Not concerned if I
(5006)		perceptions on the	recruitment, training,	commitment	continuous commitment of	leave this co without
		extent of HPWS	internal promotion		Meyer and Allen	having any other job
		practice	systems, empowered			2. Would be very hard to
			employees, participation			leave this company right
			programs, teamwork, self-			now
			directed teams,			3. I work in this
			performance appraisal,			company because of the
			formal performance			benefits I draw from this
			feedback, performance			company unable to be
			based on quantified results,			found in any other
			profit sharing schemes,			company
			additional pay rise last			
			year, information sharing			
			on company's financial and			
			operational performance,			
			formal communication			
			programs, employee			
			attitude surveys, few status			
			differences, good career			
			opportunity, formal			
			grievances and complaint			
			resolution system,			
			measures of occupational			
			safety and injuries			

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Chaudhuri HPWS Employee 21-item perceptions on the recruitm extent of HPWS practice systems practice mploys program directed perform formal t feedbac based on profit sh addition year, init on comp operatio	Cherif (2020) HRM Employee 16-item perceptions on the include extent of HRM policy, i practices sharing, training
21-item including selective recruitment, training, internal promotion systems, empowered employees, participation programs, teamwork, self-directed teams, performance appraisal, formal performance feedback, performance based on quantified results, profit sharing schemes, additional pay rise last year, information sharing on company's financial and operational performance, formal communication programs, employee attitude surveys, few status differences, good career opportunity, formal grievances and complaint resolution system, measures of occupational safety and injuries	16-item questionnaire that include compensation policy, information sharing, job security, and training and development
Normative	Organizational commitment
3 items scale measuring normative commitment of Meyer and Allen	30-item questionnaire measures commitment in its normative dimension, based on reciprocity and responsibility as value to the work where a link to the organization based on loyalty of workers set was developed (Norm et al., 2017).
Don't think sensible to be a company's man anymore Even if get a better job elsewhere I don't feel it right to leave my organization 3. A sense of moral obligation	

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

A six-item scale was adapted from Meyer et al. (1993) to capture employee work for my company affective commitment. have a strong sense of belonging to my company, and "I think I am an insider at my company".	4 items from the revised Meyer and Allen (1997) measure of affective commitment	4 items from the scale developed by Cook and Wall (1980)
Affective commitment	Organizational	Organizational commitment
9-item high-commitment HRM scale was adapted from Snell and Dean (1992) including practices related to selective staffing, comprehensive training and development, developmental appraisal, as well as competitive and equitable compensation.	A set of eight HR practices were generated from existing research and included after their relevance was confirmed within the focus groups. These covered performance appraisal, training and development, skill use, job design, career management, communication of information, employee voice and participation.	A set of eight HR practices performance appraisal, training and development, skill use, job design, career management, communication of information, employee voice and participation.
Employee perceptions on the extent of high commitment HRM practices	Employee perceptions on the extent of HR practices	Employee perceptions on the extent of HR practices
High- commitment HRM	HR practices	HR practices
Chiang (2011)	Clinton & Guest (2013)	Clinton & Guest (2013)

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

The affective and normative dimensions were each measured by six statements to which respondents were asked their level of agreement on a six-point Likert scale 6	
Measured using a modified version of Meyer et al. (1993) affective and normative commitment scales	
Organizational	
A total of 63 statements representing various HRM activities are included in the questionnaire. Relying on previous research using the AMO framework (e.g. Appelbaum et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 2012; Subramony, 2009), they categorized these practices into three dimensions, as follows: skill-enhancing HR practices included selection (eight items), induction (two items), induction (two items), training and development (nine items). Statements on supervision, communication, participation, participation, performance appraisal, selection, training and development and compensation were adapted from Geringer et al. (2002) questionnaire. As for the statements relating to work-life balance, induction and benefits, they were formulated following a	the academic literature.
HPWS index: employee responses for each statement of practices constituting a bundle were summed to obtain an overall score for this bundle. The scores of the three bundles were then added to obtain an HPWS index.	
HRM practices	
Fabi et al. (2015)	

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Fragoso et al. (2019)	HPWS	Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practices	An adaptation of the scale developed and adapted by Takeuchi et al. (2007) composed of 15 items (e.g., "performance evaluation includes feedback for personal development") and, to answer each of the items or statements, participants used a 7-noint Likert scale	Affective commitment	Scale by Meyer and Allen (1991)	The AC consists of six items (e.g., "I really feel the problems of the PON as if they were mine")
Fragoso et al. (2019)	HPWS	Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practices	An adaptation of the scale developed and adapted by Takeuchi et al. (2007) composed of 15 items (e.g., "performance evaluation includes feedback for personal development") and, to answer each of the items or statements, participants used a 7-point Likert scale	Normative commitment	Scale by Meyer and Allen (1991)	NC of three items (e.g., "I'd feel guilty if I left the PON right now")
Fragoso et al. (2019)	HPWS	Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practices	An adaptation of the scale developed and adapted by Takeuchi et al. (2007) composed of 15 items (e.g., "performance evaluation includes feedback for personal development") and, to answer each of the items or statements, participants used a 7-point Likert scale	Commitment	Scale by Meyer and Allen (1991)	CC of six items (e.g., "I wouldn't leave the PON for what I could lose")
Gahlawat & Kundu (2019)	Participatory HRM	Employees' perceptions of the use and effectiveness of participatory HRM practices	12 items related to the three subscales of self-managed teams, flexible work arrangements, and empowerment.	Affective	Four items were adapted from Ellemers et al. (1998)	

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Hashim (2010)	HRM practices	Employee perceptions on the extent of HRM practices	Ten items each enquiring about the recruitment, selection, performance appraisal, training and development, and compensation practices.	Organizational commitment	Measured by a 12-item short version of the Organisational Commitment questionnaire developed by Mowday et al. (1979).	
Heffernan & Dundon (2016)	HPWS	HR-manager's perception of HPWS usage	28 practices were included such as: employee resourcing, training and development, performance management and remuneration, employee involvement and communications	Organizational affective commitment	5-item scale by Meyer and Allen (1997)	Examples of items asked include: 'I feel a strong sense of belonging to my organisation'
Hennekam & Herrback (2013)	HRM practices	Employee perceptions on the extent of HRM practices	The HRM practices under study were flexible work options (four items), job design (four items), training (four items), performance evaluation (three items), and recognition and respect (three items)	Affective organizational commitment	Organizational commitment scale (Meyer and Allen, 1991)	

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

A sample item is "I would like to spend the rest of my career with this organization."	
Six items developed by Allen and Meyer (1990)	Meyer and Allen's scale
Affective	Affective
Participants reported their perceived HPWS based on this measure, which covers major human resource management practices such as recruitment (e.g., "Company uses interviews, tests, etc. in selection of candidates and [the selection process] is quite comprehensive"), compensation (e.g., "Company's reward packages include an extensive benefits packages'), training (e.g., "Training programs provided by company are useful and comprehensive"), and performance management (e.g., "Performance appraisals include developmental feedback for employees").	HR practices referring to dynamic structural framework, control of workplace decisions, and fluidity in information sharing, was measured using a shortened (17 items) Matthews et al. (2003) instrument.
Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practices	Employee perceptions on the extent of HRM practices
HPWS	Organizational empowerment HRM
Hu et al. (2019)	Kazlauskaite et al. (2012)

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Kehoe & Wright (2013)	High performance HR practices	HPWS index	15 items that include perceptions concerning selectivity in staffing procedures by asking employees about the use of	Affective	5 items that were consistent with items used in previous research (e.g., Meyer & Allen, 1997;	Sample items include "I feel a strong sense of belonging to this organization" and "I am willing to work harder to
			formal selection tests (Huselid, 1995; Way, 2001), the use of structured employment interviews		Boulean, 1974).	help this company succeed."
			general quality of selected job candidates (Combs et al., 2006) for employees in their jobs			

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

(2016) development practices		(for at least one year) in	commitment	scale were: "I would be
		. 300 %		SCALC WOLLS
•	extent of	other countries"; "Moving		very happy to spend the
	leadership	to new positions (for at		rest of my career with
	development	least one year) in other		this corporation"; "I
	practices	division/business unit";		enjoy discussing this
		"Moving to new positions		corporation with people
		(for at least one year) in		outside it"; "I do not feel
		other functions (e.g.		like 'part of the family'
		service, sales, HR,		at this corporation"
		finance)"; "Doing shorter		(reverse-coded); "I feel a
		term job assignments in		high degree of similarity
		other countries (for 2-12		between myself and
		months)"; "Doing shorter		other employees in this
		term job assignments in		corporation"; "I do not
		another division/business		feel a strong sense of
		unit (for 2-12 months)";		belonging to this
		"Doing shorter term (for 2-		corporation" (reverse-
		12 months) job		coded).
		assignments in other		
		functions (e.g. service, HR,		
		finance)"; "Working on		
		cross-boundary (borders,		
		functions, business		
		units/division) project		
		assignments alongside		
		regular job"; "Starting new		
		business units";		
		"Participating in formal		
		training programs with		
		participants from other		
		companies"; and		
		"Participating in action		
		learning projects (carrying		
		out business projects with		
		others)".		

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

31 items were used, Affective encompassing seven commitment subscales, to create and calculate a unitary index for HPWS following a subscale aggregation approach.	practices and practices and practices extracted from commitment the Management Questionnaire such as employee development, performance management and reward, grievance and dismissal procedure and communication.	The 55-items HPWS measure comprised of eight constructs including: employment security (all 2 constructs included); selective hiring (all 8 constructs included); extensive training (5 constructs included, 3 omitted); self-managed teams and decentralized decision making; reduced status distinctions; information sharing (all 7 constructs included); transformational leadership (all 7 constructs included); high-quality work (all 4 constructs included)
HPWS index 31 en en sul	Manager's 12 perception of HR prapactices usage the Queen error er	Employee The perceptions on the me extent of HPWS eight practice CO
HPWS	HR practices	HPWS
Kloutsiniotis & Mihail (2017)	Lai et al. (2017)	Leggat & Young (2008)

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Lewicka & Krot (2015)	HRM practices	Employee perceptions on the extent of HRM practices	7 items based on Delery and Doty (1996), Armstrong (1996), Dorenbosch et al. (2006) Bowen and Ostroff (2004) including statements about HRM activities aimed at improving work conditions, building high-quality relations at work, and creating development opportunities.	Affective commitment	7-item from Allen and Meyer (1990)	
Macky & Boxall (2007)	HPWS	HPWS index	16-item high performance work system index was developed.	Organizational	15-item version of the Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ) (Mowday et al., 1979	
Nam & Lee (2018)	High- commitment HR practices	Manager's perception of high-commitment HR practices usage	15 HR policy items from Guest (1997)'s commitment HR system measure were adopted.	Affective	5 items from Allen and Meyer (1990)	"This organization has a great deal of personal meaning for me," "I feel like 'part of the family' at my organization," "I feel 'emotionally attached' to this organization," "This organization has a great deal of personal meaning for me" and "I feel a strong sense of belonging to my organization."
Qiao et al. (2009)	HPWS	Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practice	The 18-item measurement for HPWS was adapted from scales used by Guthrie (2001) and Datta et al. (2005).	Organizational commitment	Mowday et al.'s (1979) 15- item scale	"I would accept almost any job in order to keep working in this organization"

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

	The affective commitment scale consists of five items. Examples of affective commitment items include "This organization means a lot to me" and "I feel at home in this organization".
12 items to measure the components of 'affective' (four items; e.g., 'I endorse this organization for people outside it as I feel contented with my experience in it, 'continuance' (four items; e.g., 'Sometimes, I feel anxious about the probable loss of economic benefits if I can't be a part of this organization'), and 'normative' (four items; 'I feel that I owe my loyalty to this organization because of what it has done for me') that reflect the dimensions of OC among employees.	Affective commitment scale (Allen and Meyer, 1990)
Affective	Affective commitment
Assessed employee perception on 11 HRM constructs, categorized into the dimensions of 'ability-enhancing', 'motivation-enhancing' and 'opportunity-enhancing' practices	High commitment HRM was measured with ten items (Dorenbosch et al., 2006). Employees were asked to use a five-point scale. Examples of this scale are "In my opinion a lot of attention is paid to training", "A plan for my career is made in collaboration with my supervisor".
Employee perception on the strength of HRM practices	Employee perceptions on the extent of high commitment HRM practices
HRM practices	High- commitment HRM
Ramaprasad et al. (2018)	Sanders et al. (2008)

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Focus on employee affective commitment, which is known as the most prevalent component that deals with an emotional attachment to and identification with the organization (Allen and Meyer, 1990).		"This organization has a great deal of personal meaning for me," "I feel like "part of the family" at my organization," and "I feel 'emotionally attached' to this organization,"	
Employee affective commitment was measured using four items based on several authors (Cook, 1981; Meyer et al., 1993).	Organizational Commitment Questionnaire from Mowday et al (1979)	Measured by three items from Allen and Meyer (1990)	6 items Lincoln–Kalleberg measure of affective organizational commitment
Affective	Organizational commitment	Affective commitment	Organizational
The HRM system was measured using four HRM sub-systems, namely, training, information, participation in decisionmaking and autonomy. The study included the variables of participation in decisionmaking, information and training levels based on practices that serve to enhance the ability—motivation—opportunity (AMO) dimensions (Appelbaum et al., 2000; Arthur, 1994).	Practices include selection, performance appraisal, and compensation	13-item HPWS scale developed by Huselid (1995)	A single overall index of practices from the five domains of participation, teams, development, selection (development and selection can also be regarded jointly as skills formation) and incentives
Employee perceptions on the extent of HRM system	Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practices	Manager's perception of HPWS usage	HPWS index
HRM system	HPWS	HPWS	HRM practices
Shin et al. (2020)	Sourchi & Liao (2015)	Takeuchi (2009)	White & Bryson (2013)

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

		HKM practices HPWS index	Six core HRM practices	ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	Mowday et al. (1979) scale	A sample statement was.
Chaturvadi	4		were concentralized and	commitment		"I am proud to tell others
Chaturveur			were conceptualized and	COMMUNICATION		that I am ment of this
(6007)			measured at the			that I am part of this
			organizational			organization."
			level: selectivity,			
			comprehensive training,			
			internal career			
			opportunities, formal			
			appraisals, empowerment,			
			and performance-related			
			pay. Each policy area			
			presented respondents with			
			five or six statements about			
			their organization's HRM			
			practices and asked them			
			to respond to the			
			statements using a scale			
			ranging from 1, indicating			
			very inaccurate, to 6, very			
			accurate.			
Xi et al.	HRM practices	Employee	Delery & Doty's (1996) 23	Affective	Meyer & Allen (1997)	
2016)		perceptions on the extent of HRM	item-scale to measure the use of HPWS	commitment		
		practices				

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Sample items included: "I would be very happy to spend the rest of my career with this organisation," "I really feel that this organisation's problems are my own", "This organisation has a great deal of personal meaning to me"	A sample item includes 'I really feel as if this restaurant's problems are my own'
8-item scale developed by Meyer and Allen (1990)	Measured using Meyer, Allen, and Smith's (1993) scales
Affective	Affective organizational commitment
The adapted HPWS measure comprised of 38 items. The HPWS measure comprised of eight constructs including: employment security (all two constructs included); selective hiring (all eight constructs included); extensive training (five constructs included, three omitted); self-managed teams and decentralized decision making; reduced status distinctions; information sharing (all seven constructs included); transformational leadership (all seven constructs included); high-quality work (all four constructs included).	Measured using the Sanders, Dorenbosch, and de Reuver's (2008) 17 items scale developed from an employee's perspective. Sample item includes 'IHR practices here help me a great deal to develop my knowledge and skills. The reliability for this scale was good
Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practice	Employee perception on the extent of high- commitment HRM practices
HPWS	High- commitment HRM
Young et al. (2010)	Yousaf et al. (2018)

Table 1: Construct Label and Measurement (Continued)

Sample items included 'I feel like ''part of the family'' in my company' and 'I really feel as if this company's problems are my own'.	Items include 'I enjoy discussing my organisation with people outside it' and 'I really feel that this organisation's problems are my own'.
The affective commitment scale developed by Meyer and Allen (1991)	8-item scale developed by Meyer and Allen (1991)
Affective	Affective commitment
12-item HPWS practice measure covers the major components of HRM functions such as recruitment, training, compensation, employee participation and job security. Sample items included 'employees have clear career paths within the company' and 'our company provides employees with training assistance enabling them to upgrade their qualifications'.	42-item measure espoused by Zacharatos et al. (2005). The HPWS measure comprised six constructs including selective hiring (an 8-item construct); extensive training (an 8-item construct); employment security (a 2-item construct); generous payment (a 4-item construct); self-managed teams (4 items); transformational leadership (5 items)
Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practice	Employee perceptions on the extent of HPWS practice
HPWS	HPWS
Zhang et al. (2014)	Zhang et al. (2016)

Table 2 Studies Used in the Meta-Analysis

Study	Sample size	Sample country	Effect sizes
Almutawa et al. (2016)	200	Kuwait	0.608
Anderson & Anderson (2019)	408	Sweden	0.07
Bashir et al. (2012)	261	China	0.61
Bashir et al. (2012)	674	Pakistan	0.54
Boon & Kalshoven (2014)	540	Netherlands	0.2
Boon et al. (2011)	412	Netherlands	0.13
Bos-Nehles & Meijerink (2018)	95	Netherlands	0.35
Camelo-Ordaz et al. (2011)	87	Spain	0.609
Chang & Chen (2011)	381	Taiwan	0.25
Chaudhuri (2009)	227	Japan	0.51
Chaudhuri (2009)	227	Japan	-0.25
Chaudhuri (2009)	227	Japan	0.35
Cherif (2020)	330	Saudi Arabia	0.524
Chiang (2011)	198	Taiwan	0.62
Clinton & Guest (2013)	1512	UK	0.37
Clinton & Guest (2013)	575	UK	0.52
Fabi et al. (2015)	730	Canada	0.58
Fragoso et al. (2019)	1003	Portugal	0.35
Fragoso et al. (2019)	1003	Portugal	0.37
Fragoso et al. (2019)	1003	Portugal	0.34
Gahlawat & Kundu (2019)	569	India	0.53
Hashim (2010)	121	Malaysia	0.674
Heffernan & Dundon (2016)	187	Ireland	-0.217
Hennekam & Herrback (2013)	414	Netherlands	0.46
Hu et al. (2019)	94	China	0.62
Kazlauskaite et al. (2012)	211	Lithuania	0.561
Kehoe & Wright (2013)	56	U.S.	0.62
Khoreva (2016)	332	Finland	0.2
Kloutsiniotis & Mihail (2017)	296	Greece	0.451
Lai et al. (2017)	24661	UK	0.058
Leggat & Young (2008)	68	Australia	0.70
Lewicka & Krot (2015)	370	Poland	0.5
Macky & Boxall (2007)	424	New Zealand	0.61
Nam & Lee (2018)	407	South Korea	0.553
Qiao et al. (2009)	1176	China	0.54
Ramaprasad et al. (2018)	752	India	0.56

Table 2: Studies Used in the Meta-Analysis (*Continued*)

Sanders et al. (2008)	671	Netherlands	0.27
Shin et al. (2020)	6320	Spain	0.55
Sourchi & Liao (2015)	319	Iraq	0.038
Takeuchi (2009)	324	Japan	0.17
White & Bryson (2013)	11854	UK	0.0137
Wu & Chaturvedi (2009)	385	Singapore	0.31
Xi et al. (2016)	1916	China	0.35
Young et al. (2010)	68	Australia	0.7
Yousaf et al. (2018)	184	Indonesia	0.33
Zhang et al. (2014)	700	China	0.43
Zhang et al. (2016)	410	China	0.28

Table 3 Meta-Analytic Test Results

	\boldsymbol{b}	<0.01		n.s.	n.s.		n.s.	n.s.		n.s.	n.s.		n.s.	n.s.		n.s.	n.s.	1
	95% CI	[0.36, 0.52]		[0.35, 0.55]	[0.30, 0.53]		[0.33, 0.54]	[0.32, 0.55]		[0.33, 0.54]	[0.32, 0.55]		[0.37, 0.57]	[0.26, 0.50]		[0.30, 0.49]	[0.38, 0.63]	
	Õ			0.26	0.26		<0.01	<0.01		<0.01	<0.01		1.37	1.37		1.70	1.70	
	SE	0.04		0.05	90.0		0.05	90.0		0.05	90.0		0.05	90.0		0.05	90.0	
Sampling error	variance	0.07		0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01	0.01		0.01	0.1	
Corrected	correlations	0.56		0.58	0.53		0.56	0.56		0.56	0.55		09.0	0.49		0.51	0.64	
Sample size weighted	correlations	0.44		0.45	0.41		0.43	0.44		0.44	0.43		0.47	0.38		0.40	0.50	
	k	47		26	21		27	20		26	21		18	29		30	17	
	N	63,382		13,387	49,995		16,407	46,975		48,333	15,049		20,164	43,218		49,791	12,717	
	Variable	HPWS - OC	Collectivism	High	Low	Uncertainty Avoidance	High	Low	Masculinity	High	Low	Power Distance	High	Low	Long-Term Orientation	High	Low	

Note. SE = standard error; Q = distributed as chi-square statistics with k minus 1 degrees of freedom; n.s. = nonsignificant.

Table 4 Methodological Moderator Test Results

Sample size weighted correlations	0.35	0.52	0.49 0.63	0.14
Sample N k co	28,490 23	34,892 24	25,160 40	38.222 7

Note. SE = standard error; $Q = \text{distributed as chi-square statistics with } k \text{ minus } 1 \text{ degrees of freedom; n.s.} = \text{nonsignificant; } {}^*p < 0.05,$

REFERENCES

- Alcázar, F., Miguel Romero Fernández, P., & Sánchez Gardey, G. (2013). Workforce diversity in strategic human resource management models: A critical review of the literature and implications for future research. *Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal*, 20(1), 39–49. https://doi.org/10.1108/13527601311296247
- Allen, N. J., & Meyer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8325.1990.tb00506.x
- Andreassi, J. K., Lawter, L., Brockerhoff, M., & Rutigliano, P. J. (2014). Cultural impact of human resource practices on job satisfaction. *Cross Cultural Management*. https://doi.org/10.1108/CCM-05-2012-0044
- Appelbaum, E., Bailey, T., Berg, P., Kalleberg, A. L., & Bailey, P. T. A. (2000).

 Manufacturing advantage: Why high-performance work systems pay off. Cornell University Press.
- Becker, B. E., Huselid, M. A. (1998). High performance work systems and firm performance: A synthesis of research and managerial implications. *Research in Personnel and Human Resource Management*, 53–102.
- Blau, P. M. (1964). Justice in social exchange. *Sociological Inquiry*, *34*(2), 193–206. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-682X.1964.tb00583.x
- Boselie, P., Paauwe, J., & Jansen, P. (2001). Human resource management and performance: Lessons from the Netherlands. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *12*(7), 1107–1125. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190110068331
- Brewster, C., & Mayrhofer, W. (2012). Comparative human resource management: An introduction. *Handbook of Research on Comparative Human Resource Management*. https://www.elgaronline.com/view/edcoll/9781847207265/9781847207265.00005.xml
- Chang, P.-C., & Chen, S.-J. (2011). Crossing the level of employee's performance: HPWS, affective commitment, human capital, and employee job performance in professional service organizations. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22(4), 883–901. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2011.555130
- Chaudhuri, K. (2009). An empirical quest for linkages between HPWS and employee behaviors A perspective from the non-managerial employees in Japanese organizations. *International Journal of Computer and Systems Engineering*, *3*(5), 573–587.

- Clinton, M., & Guest, D. E. (2013). Testing universalistic and contingency HRM assumptions across job levels. *Personnel Review*, 42(5), 529–551. https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-07-2011-0109
- Dastmalchian, A., Bacon, N., McNeil, N., Steinke, C., Blyton, P., Satish Kumar, M., Bayraktar, S., Auer-Rizzi, W., Bodla, A. A., Cotton, R., Craig, T., Ertenu, B., Habibi, M., Huang, H. J., İmer, H. P., Isa, C. R., Ismail, A., Jiang, Y., Kabasakal, H., ... Varnali, R. (2020). High-performance work systems and organizational performance across societal cultures. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 51(3), 353–388. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-019-00295-9
- Edgar, F., & Geare, A. (2005). HRM practice and employee attitudes: Different measures different results. *Personnel Review*. https://doi.org/10.1108/00483480510612503
- Gardner, T. M., Wright, P. M., & Moynihan, L. M. (2011). The impact of motivation, empowerment, and skill-enhancing practices on aggregate voluntary turnover: The mediating effect of collective affective commitment. *Personnel Psychology*, 64(2), 315–350. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2011.01212.x
- Gellatly, I. R., Hunter, K. H., Currie, L. G., & Irving, P. G. (2009). HRM practices and organizational commitment profiles. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20(4), 869–884. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190902770794
- Godard, J. (2004). A critical assessment of the high-performance paradigm. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 42(2), 349–378. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8543.2004.00318.x
- Gould-Williams, J. (2004). The effects of 'high commitment' hrm practices on employee attitude: The views of public sector workers. *Public Administration*, 82(1), 63–81. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0033-3298.2004.00383.x
- Guthrie, J. P. (2001). High-involvement work practices, turnover, and productivity: Evidence from New Zealand. *Academy of Management Journal*, 44(1), 180–190. https://doi.org/10.5465/3069345
- Heffernan, M., & Dundon, T. (2016). Cross-level effects of high-performance work systems (HPWS) and employee well-being: The mediating effect of organisational justice. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(2), 211–231. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12095
- Hofstede, G. (1980). Culture and organizations. *International Studies of Management & Organization*, 10(4), 15–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.1980.11656300
- Hofstede, G. H., & Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations*. SAGE.

- House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). *Culture, Leadership, and Organizations: The GLOBE Study of 62 Societies*. SAGE Publications.
- Hughes, J. M. C. (2002). HRM and universalism: Is there one best way? *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 14(5), 221–228. https://doi.org/10.1108/09596110210433745
- Hunter, J. E., & Schmidt, F. L. (2004). *Methods of meta-analysis: Correcting error and bias in research findings*. SAGE.
- Kantor, J., & Streitfeld, D. (2015, August 15). Inside Amazon: Wrestling big ideas in a bruising workplace. *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/16/technology/inside-amazon-wrestling-big-ideas-in-a-bruising-workplace.html
- Korff, J., Biemann, T., & Voelpel, S. C. (2017). Human resource management systems and work attitudes: The mediating role of future time perspective. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 38(1), 45–67. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2110
- Lai, Y., Saridakis, G., & Johnstone, S. (2017). Human resource practices, employee attitudes and small firm performance. *International Small Business Journal*, 35(4), 470–494. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242616637415
- Lawler, E. E. (1986). *High-involvement management: Participative strategies for improving organizational performance*. Jossey-Bass Inc.
- Lenartowicz, T., Johnson, J. P., & White, C. T. (2003). The neglect of intracountry cultural variation in international management research. *Journal of Business Research*, 56(12), 999–1008. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(01)00314-9
- Lertxundi, A., & Landeta, J. (2011). The moderating effect of cultural context in the relation between HPWS and performance: An exploratory study in Spanish multinational companies. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22(18), 3949–3967. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2011.610942
- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. B. (2001). *Practical meta-analysis* (pp. ix, 247). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Mahapatra, L. (2013, July 26). Amazon.com has second highest employee turnover of all fortune 500 companies. International Business Times. https://www.ibtimes.com/amazoncom-has-second-highest-employee-turnover-all-fortune-500-companies-1361257
- Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1997). Commitment in the Workplace: Theory, Research, and Application. Sage Publications, Inc.
- Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of

- antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20–52. https://doi.org/10.1006/jvbe.2001.1842
- Mittal, R. (2012). High performance work systems: A cross-cultural perspective. *Journal of International Business and Cultural Studies*, 6, 1–10.
- Mouer, R. (2007). Shushin Koyo. In *The Blackwell Encyclopedia of Sociology*. American Cancer Society. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405165518.wbeoss115
- Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., & Porter, L. W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, *14*(2), 224–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791(79)90072-1
- Nishii, L. H., Lepak, D. P., & Schneider, B. (2008). Employee attributions of the "why" of hr practices: Their effects on employee attitudes and behaviors, and customer satisfaction. *Personnel Psychology*, *61*(3), 503–545. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2008.00121.x
- Oxford Economics. (2014). *SAP's Workforce 2020 Survey*. Retrieved from https://www.oxfordeconomics.com/workforce2020
- Pare, G., & Lalonde, P. (2000). The impact of human resources practices on it personnel commitment, citizenship behaviors, and turnover intentions. *ICIS 2000 Proceedings*. https://aisel.aisnet.org/icis2000/45
- Peretz, H., & Rosenblatt, Z. (2011). The role of societal cultural practices in organizational investment in training: A comparative study in 21 countries. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 42(5), 817–831. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022111406786
- Pfeffer, J. (1998). The human equation: Building profits by putting people first. Harvard Business Press.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879
- Rabl, T., Jayasinghe, M., Gerhart, B., & Kuhlmann, T. M. (2014). A meta-analysis of country differences in the high-performance work system-business performance relationship: The roles of national culture and managerial discretion. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 99(6), 1011–1041. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037712
- Ramsay, H., Scholarios, D., & Harley, B. (2000). Employees and high-performance work systems: Testing inside the black box. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 38(4), 501–531. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8543.00178
- Randall, D. M. (1993). Cross-cultural research on organizational commitment: A review and application of Hofstede's Value Survey Module. *Journal of Business Research*, 26(1), 91–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-2963(93)90045-Q

- Riketta, M. (2002). Attitudinal organizational commitment and job performance: A metaanalysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23(3), 257–266. https://doi.org/10.1002/job.141
- Rode, J. C., Huang, X., & Flynn, B. (2016). A cross-cultural examination of the relationships among human resource management practices and organisational commitment: An institutional collectivism perspective. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 26(4), 471–489. https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12117
- Rousseau, D. M. (1995). Psychological contracts in organizations: Understanding written and unwritten agreements. Sage Publications, Inc.
- Rousseau, D., & Schalk, R. (2000). Psychological contracts in employment: Crossnational perspectives. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452231273.n10
- Schmidt, F. L. (2017). Statistical and measurement pitfalls in the use of meta-regression in meta-analysis. *Career Development International*, 22(5), 469–476. https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-08-2017-0136
- Sung, J. and Ashton, D. (2005). High performance work practices: Linking strategy and skills to performance outcomes. DTI in association with CIPD. http://www.cipd.co.uk/subjects/corpstrtgy
- Thompson, M., & Heron, P. (2005). Management capability and high-performance work organization. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 16(6), 1029–1048. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190500120806
- White, M., & Bryson, A. (2013). Positive employee attitudes: How much human resource management do you need? *Human Relations*, 66(3), 385–406. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726712465096
- Whitener, E. M. (1990). Confusion of confidence intervals and credibility intervals in meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 75(3), 315–321. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.75.3.315
- Whitener, E. M. (2001). Do "high commitment" human resource practices affect employee commitment? A cross-level analysis using hierarchical linear modeling. *Journal of Management*, 27(5), 515–535. https://doi.org/10.1177/014920630102700502
- Wright, P. M., Gardner, T. M., & Moynihan, L. M. (2003). The impact of HR practices on the performance of business units. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 13(3), 21–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-8583.2003.tb00096.x
- Wright, T. A., & Bonett, D. G. (2002). The moderating effects of employee tenure on the relation between organizational commitment and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(6), 1183–1190. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.87.6.1183

Zhang, M., Di Fan, D., & Zhu, C. J. (2014). High-performance work systems, corporate social performance and employee outcomes: Exploring the missing links. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 120(3), 423–435. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1672-8